

To: Vaughn, Stephanie[Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]; Stan Kaczmarek[stank@demaximis.com]
From: Hoppe, Michael
Sent: Tue 8/13/2013 8:12:06 PM
Subject: RE: Website Status Reports

Seems simple enough. I say go with the footnote to avoid any dialog that might otherwise cause misconception or alarm.

Michael Hoppe

USEPA Region 2

Response and Prevention Branch

Preparedness Section

Phone: (732) 906-6908 Fax: (732) 321-4425

Cell: (908) 420-4472



From: Vaughn, Stephanie
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 2:19 PM
To: Hoppe, Michael; Stan Kaczmarek
Subject: RE: Website Status Reports

What if we add a footnote next to each instance of the word H2S (in the first column of the table), with a note added to the key at the bottom of the table stating:

Odor indicator, criterion not associated with elevated health risk

From: Hoppe, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 1:56 PM
To: Vaughn, Stephanie; Stan Kaczmarek

Subject: Re: Website Status Reports

There was a good bit of discussion this morning in efforts to discuss the slightly elevated reading.

The quality of life wasn't brought up but surely is relevant.

I think that your background as risk assessor is needed to allay any potential fears.

From: Vaughn, Stephanie
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 1:45:00 PM
To: Stan Kaczmarek; Hoppe, Michael
Subject: RE: Website Status Reports

Still reviewing, but would it be fair to say something like H2S is being monitored as a quality of life parameter, as an indicator of odor, and elevated health risks are not associated with these concentrations?

From: Stan Kaczmarek [<mailto:StanK@demaximis.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2013 12:56 PM
To: Hoppe, Michael; Vaughn, Stephanie
Subject: Website Status Reports

Here is what should be uploaded this afternoon.

Stan Kaczmarek, PE

de maximis, inc.

186 Center Street, Suite 290

Clinton, NJ 08809

(O) (908) 735-9315

(C) (973) 978-9621